Interview Summary	10/534,366	SATO, KUNIO		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MELISSA J. KOVAL	2862		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>MELISSA J. KOVAL</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Leonard Holtz, Applicant's Representative</u> .	<u>esentative</u> . (4)			
Date of Interview: <u>05 January 2009</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	r)∏ applicant's representative	·]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.			
Claim(s) discussed: cancelled claims 4 through 9.				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>JP 11-305335</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Cancelled claims 4 through 9 will be rejoined. A machine translation of JP 11-305335 is included to support the newly updated reasons for allowance. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
/MELISSA J KOVAL/				

Application No.

Applicant(s)